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Aim / Purpose of the NDPP

- To provide a **common framework** for national and Alliance defence planning activities
- To **harmonize** national and Alliance defence planning activities to meet NATO agreed targets
- To **facilitate** the timely identification, development and delivery of military and non-military capabilities
- To **ensure** that national contributions are interoperable and adequately prepared, equipped, trained and supported

New NDPP endorsed by Defence Ministers in October 2016
Focus of the NDPP

Timely identification, development and delivery of the forces and capabilities needed to undertake Alliance missions in SACEUR’s area of responsibility

New NDPP endorsed by Defence Ministers in October 2016
Steps of the NDPP

- Establish Political Guidance
- Determine Requirements
- Apportion Requirements/ Set Targets
- Facilitate Implementation
- Review Results

Improving Alliance Capabilities
NDPP: Constantly Running Circle

1. Establish Guidance
2. Determine Requirements
3. Set Targets; Apportion Requirements
4. Facilitate Implementation
5. Review Results
1. Introduction to the NDPP

2. Standardization in the NDPP
Standardization in the NDPP

- Standardization and Interoperability one of 14 planning domains contributing to the various stands of capability development through the NDPP

- NDPP Outline Model endorsed by Defence Ministers in October 2016: ‘NATO staffs will facilitate the development and implementation by Allies of agreed common standards (e.g. STANAGs and Allied Publications)’

- More focus on interoperability and standardization due to increased multinationality of high-readiness tactical forces

- Use on NDPP as tool to encourage Allies to improve national implementation of standards
NDPP – Step 1

Develop Guidance for NDPP Cycle

Final Product: Political Guidance

PO (2015)0580 **Political Guidance** (Appendix 1):
“…standardization is critical to qualitative defence planning; Allies and NATO bodies should direct efforts to speed the accomplishment of standardization objectives…”

MCM-0110-2015: “…for the CC/CS falling within priority areas identified by ACO or NAC, planners should include references to potential solutions, such as specific Standardization Agreements (STANAGs), training, tests, and/or evaluations…”
NDPP – Step 2

Determine Requirements

Final Products:

- **Minimum Capability Requirements**
  (defined pool of capabilities required to meet NATO’s level of ambition) and Prioritized Shortfall Areas

- **Bi-SC Capability Code and Capability Statements Catalogue**
BiSC Capability Codes and Statements
- Purpose -

- Provides common language for capabilities in Defence Planning and Operational Planning
- Describes each of the roughly 350 capabilities required by the Alliance
- Crucial standards supporting delivery of the capability are addressed as reference documents
Standards in Support of Capabilities  
(CC/CS Catalogue, Example 1)

**PROTECT (P)**

**DEFENCE - Maritime Threats (P.2.4)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability Code:</th>
<th>NMWH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capability Name:</td>
<td>Mine Hunting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC Cross Reference:</td>
<td>NWL, NMCMV, NHP, VSWMCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Docs:</td>
<td>ATP-1 Ch 13; ATP-6 vol I/II; ATP-24 vol I; AJP-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linkage with CRR12:</td>
<td>NMWH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CAPSTONE CAPABILITY STATEMENTS**

1.01 Capable of conducting mine hunting operations in water depths at least down to 200 m using unmanned/manned surface, sub-surface and/or air assets means.

**PRINCIPAL CAPABILITY STATEMENTS**

2.01 Capable of detecting, classifying and identifying all types of sea mines and mine-like objects over 10 NM sq., with a clearance > 95%, and on-task-time < 5 days in all types of bottom conditions.

2.02 Capable of detection, classification, identification, plotting and marking of sea mines in water depths at least down to 200 m.

2.03 Capable of destroying or neutralising sea mines in water depths at least down to 200 m.

2.04 Capable of conducting MCM Area, Channel or Route Surveillance operations.

2.05 Capable of exchanging data with a (deployable) Mine Warfare Data Centre (MWDC).

2.06 Capable of executing accurate mine hunting operations with a robust (e.g. jamming resistant) navigation system.

**ENABLING CAPABILITY STATEMENTS**

3.01 Capable of operating as part of a larger unit or on an installation from which it may draw logistic, support and force protection, if required.

3.02 Capable of complying with CIS requirements stated in MC 195 for a NMWH/NMWS.

3.03 Capable of providing an appropriate level of CBRN Defence in accordance with ACO Force Standards.
Standards in Support of Capabilities (CC/CS Catalogue, Example 2)

**SUPPLY OF MATERIAL AND SERVICES - POL (Class III) (S.3.3)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability Code:</th>
<th>SUP-POL-STO-FBFI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capability Name:</td>
<td>POL Storage (Forward Bulk Fuel Installation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Docs:</td>
<td>STANAG 4605 (AFLP-7); STANAG 3756; STANAG 3149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linkage with CRR12:</td>
<td>SUP-POL-STO-FBFI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CAPSTONE CAPABILITY STATEMENTS**

- **1.01** Capable of constructing, certifying and operating a readily deployable and transportable tactical refuelling capability for providing direct support to deployed forces in the forward area of operations.

**PRINCIPAL CAPABILITY STATEMENTS**

- **2.01** Capable of being readily transportable by road and by air and storing 10 m³ of bulk fuel (F-35, F-34, F-44, F-54 or F-76) in flexible or rigid tanks.
- **2.02** Capable of positive segregation of fuel grades in accordance with the requirements for appropriate fuel quality control (STANAG 3149) and with all required equipment for receipt and delivery, by means of the ‘STANAG 3756-compliant’ couplings or authorised adaptors.
- **2.03** Capable of issuing fuel to ground vehicles and/or refuelling one rotary wing aircraft (220 l/min) using Hose End Pressure Couplings (HEPCs).
- **2.04** Capable of accurately recording all issues using bulk fuel meters.
- **2.05** Capable of providing Quality Assurance Control and pollution prevention as specified in STANAG 4605 and STANAG 3149.
- **2.06** Capable of providing appropriate Fire Fighting capability.
- **2.07** Capable of receiving fuel from landed aircraft; either by offloading from inboard tanks, or via an airlifted Bulk Fuel Delivery System (BFDS).

**ENABLING CAPABILITY STATEMENTS**

- **3.01** Capable of contributing to the Recognised Logistics Picture (RLP) by asset tracking using standard NATO automatic information systems.
- **3.02** Capable of operating only as part of a larger unit or on an installation from which it may draw logistic support and force protection.
- **3.03** Capable of providing an appropriate level of CBRN Defence in accordance with ACO Force Standards.
- **3.04** Capable of an appropriate level of IED preparedness in accordance with STANAG 2294/ACIEDP-01 Counter Improvised Explosive Device (C-IED) Training Requirements.
## Standards in Support of Capabilities
*(CC/CS Catalogue, identification of standards)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAPABILITY CODE</th>
<th>CAPABILITY NAME</th>
<th>CAPSTONE CAPABILITY STATEMENTS</th>
<th>CURRENT REF DOCS (IF APPLICABLE)</th>
<th>NEW REF DOCS (IF APPLICABLE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAR-BD</td>
<td>Air to Air Refuelling Standard</td>
<td>Capable of delivering fuel to airborne high speed aircraft with a boom or drogue system</td>
<td>ATP-3.3.4; ATP-3.3.4.2; ATP-3.3.4.5; ATP-3.3.4.7</td>
<td>AJP-3.3.5; ATP-3.3.4; ATP-3.3.4.2; ATP-3.3.4.5; ATP-3.3.4.6; ATP-3.3.4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR-LS</td>
<td>Air-to-Air Refuelling Low Altitude and Low Speed</td>
<td>Capable of delivering fuel to low speed/low altitude aircraft with a drogue system.</td>
<td>ATP-3.3.4; ATP-3.3.4.2; ATP-3.3.4.5; ATP-3.3.4.6; ATP-3.3.4.7</td>
<td>AJP-3.3.5; ATP-3.3.4; ATP-3.3.4.2; ATP-3.3.4.5; ATP-3.3.4.6; ATP-3.3.4.7; ATP-3.3.5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC2C(MJO)</td>
<td>Air Command and Control Capability for MJO Operations</td>
<td>Capable of commanding the air component of a multinational joint force for the execution of an operation involving up to 1,000 sorties per day</td>
<td>AJP-3.3</td>
<td>AJP-2; AJP-3; AJP-5; AJP-6; AJP-2.1; AJP-2.7; AJP-3.3; AJP-3.6; AJP-3.7; AJP-3.3.1; AJP-3.3.2; AJP-3.3.3; AJP-3.3.5; ATP-3.3.5.1; ATP-3.3.3.1; ATP-3.6.3; APP-11; AIjMedP-5; AIntP-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC2C(MJO+)</td>
<td>Air Command and Control Capability for MJO+ Operations</td>
<td>Capable of commanding the air component of a multinational joint force for the execution of an operation involving up to 3,000 sorties per day</td>
<td>AJP-3.3</td>
<td>AJP-2; AJP-3; AJP-5; AJP-6; AJP-2.1; AJP-2.7; AJP-3.3; AJP-3.6; AJP-3.7; AJP-3.3.1; AJP-3.3.2; AJP-3.3.3; AJP-3.3.5; ATP-3.3.5.1; ATP-3.3.3.1; ATP-3.6.3; APP-11; AIjMedP-5; AIntP-14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Revision of CC/CS catalogue under lead of ACT SEE ongoing
- Standards crucial for delivery of capabilities will be identified
NDPP – Step 3

Final Product:

A set of Targets for each NATO nation
(National Target Packages / Blue Books)
Link Between Targets and Standards Supporting Delivery of Targets

• **Blue Books** are the ACT **Targets Proposal** sent to nations for their study before the Joint Consultation Meetings (tailored to every NATO nation)

• **Standards** supporting a specific target are not addressed in the Blue Books but in the target related **Capability Codes**
### L 5301 N: TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES FOR DEPLOYABLE LAND FORCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Number:</th>
<th>L 5301 N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title:</td>
<td>TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES FOR DEPLOYABLE LAND FORCES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Capability Codes:</td>
<td>INF-M-BDE; INF-L-ABN-BDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target issued to:</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Target 2017:

**Requirement:**
Ensure rapid, secure, reliable and survivable information sharing, all deployable land formations assigned to NATO are to have the capability to communicate at the tactical level both in national and multinational formations. Nations are to develop and maintain interoperable tactical communication and information systems (CIS) for individual, platform and command post to Deployable Land Forces to support secure communications, both voice and data, at the tactical level.

**Short-Term (0-6 yrs):**
1. By the beginning of 2018, introduce an individual, platform and command post Tactical CIS interoperable with NATO Force Structure (NFS) elements, capable of:
   a. Tactical communication on common agreed VHF and UHF waveform to support deployed forces in compliance with STANAGs 5630, 5631, 5632, 5633, 5634, 4372 and 5048.
   b. Integrating with Land Command and Control Information Services (LC2IS) to all NFS tactical level HQs;
   c. Integrating with a Friendly Force Identifier and Track (FFIT) system in accordance with STANAG 5527 able to track the lowest tactical units deployed in the theatre of operations and able to exchange
NDPP – Step 4

**FINAL PRODUCT:**

**Targets delivered by Allies:** procurement of materiel, establishment of training courses, facilities, personnel at the level of interoperability required (DOTLMPFI), includes implementation of standards referenced in related CC/CS

Facilitate Implementation

Nations shall report implementation of standards (reflected in NSDD)
Purpose:
To review efforts to address targets

Final Product:
NATO Capability Report
(every two years)
The Defence Planning Capability Survey (DPCS) seeks information on national plans and policies, including on Allies’ efforts (national, multinational and collective) to address their targets. It also seeks information on the national inventory of military forces and associated capabilities.

2017 DPCS Questionnaire included a number of specific questions regarding the implementation status of some critical STANAGs.

The answers to these questions were assessed by NSO Branches, consolidated NSO assessment provided for each country to IS DPP.
Please indicate whether STANAG 3971 Ed 7 covering ATP 3.3.4.2, Air-to-Air Refuelling has been fully implemented.

If not, please elaborate on the limitations preventing your nation from full implementation and indicate when you intend to fully implement this STANAG in the future.
Question in DPCS 2017: “Considering that usability of multinational land manoeuvre formations requires a high level of interoperability, please specify if your nation has fully implemented the STANAGs and covered standards below. If not, please provide the reasons preventing your nation from full implementation:
STANAG 2288 Ed 2 and AJP-3.2, Allied Joint Doctrine for Land Operations
STANAG 2394 Ed 4 and ATP-3.12.1, Land Force Combat Engineer Doctrine
- ...

- Provide specification on how your nation plans to address the implementation of standards mentioned (detail the timelines, national caveats, capabilities)?

- If standards are not being implemented, what are the reasons? What mitigation measures is your nation taking if these standards are not being implemented?
NDPP and Standardization
-- Summary --

**STEP 1**

- Establish Political Guidance
- Determine Requirements
- Apportion Requirements
- Set Targets

**STEP 2/3**

- Reference to interoperability/standardization in the Political Guidance Document
- Key STANAGs addressed in CC/CS catalogue, targets refer to related capability code

**STEP 4**

- Nations deliver capabilities and implement STANAGs in support of these capabilities

**STEP 5**

- Assessment of key STANAGs implementation (DPCS)
NSO Contribution to the NDPP

The NSO as focal point of contact for linking standards with capability delivery …

- ensures that standardization is appropriately reflected in the political guidance (step 1) and the implementation phase by facilitating & monitoring the implementation of standards (step 4)

- ensures that standards are adequately linked to capabilities (step 2 and 3) and assists in defining interoperability requirements and shortfalls (step 2)

- analyses the nations’ reports and suggests follow-on actions as appropriate (step 5)
Time for your questions...